

Reinvestigate 911 presents

Public meeting Conway Hall, London

WC1 4RL Tuesday 30 April, 6.30pm to 9.30 pm

Light refreshments, entrance £4 unwaged £2 Full price tickets in advance £3 at www.coffee.uk.com/tickets

9/11 paper trail bombshell *White House anti-terror chief: CIA enabled 9/11*

Terrorists ? Or just patsies? The alleged 9/11 terrorists saved from arrest before the attacks by order of CIA bosses. **Ian Henshall,** author of 9/11 *The New Evidence*, and film maker **Tom Secker** on patsies, disapearing ringleaders and other parallels between 9/11 and 7/7.

Why I refuse to pay my TV license

Activist Tony Rooke on the impossible collapse

Tony Rooke made the national press in March when he went to court. Why would a steel frame building collapse symmetrically and at free fall speed? Who told the media it would happen? **Peter Drew**, UK organiser of AE911: this is the smoking gun, we want answers, not insults, from the BBC. Physics lecturer **James Boswell**: any A level student can see the the official 9/11 explanations are nonsense.

Airline pilot: official story not possible

Amateur pilots could not have done this

According to the official 9/11 line, amateur pilots attacked three buildings with pinpoint accuracy at high speed and low altitude. One skimmed in at 20ft above the ground. Airline pilot **Mitoa Edjang** explains why the story cannot be correct.

Reinvestigate 9/11

The investigation that never happened.

Less than an hour after the 9/11 attacks started the Bush White House announced who the culprits were. The media never questioned it. Instead they propelled the public into a state of shock, endlessly repeating the horrifying footage.

All subsequent investigations took it for granted that the implausible official line was true: 9/11 was carried out entirely by a small band of terrorists, unknown to the authorities, so skilled that the attacks could not have been prevented.

But Richard Clarke, White House anti-terror chief at the time, recently confirmed what FBI whistleblowers have long suggested: in the weeks before the attacks the alleged 9/11 hijackers were under suspicion but senior FBI and CIA officials made a "decision": they ordered FBI field agents *not* to arrest them.

9/11 has been used to further an agenda of endless war abroad and blanket surveillance at home. Legal principles going back to Magna Carta have been shamelessly abandoned.

There are many theories about what exactly did happen, but this much is now clear. Without the stand down at the FBI and CIA the attacks could never have succeeded. And there is still no official explanation for the rapid and symmetrical collapse of three (sic) massive steel frame buildings in New York, designed to resist a collision with jetliners which took off frequently from the nearby airport.

Reinvestigate 911

www.reinvestigate911.org info@reinvestigate911.org http://www.youtube.com/user/reinvestigate911org

We will support any new investigation of the 9/11 attacks so long as *it is run by people of integrity with a range of opinions on what might have happened, *it follows the evidence wherever it leads.

An investigation is most likely to take place at the UN, but in the US to be credible it will need *full legal authority to demand immediate access to any evidence and any witness it chooses *the resources it requires to carry out its investigation

9/11 and many other issues are covered impartially on RADTV UK

Reinvestigate 911 is supported by Coffee Plant (www.coffee.uk.com) suppliers of organic and Fairtrade coffees to caterers and retail customers.